

# Science takes time - Families take time !

Kirsti Baird Hultberg

The ESST Programme

University of Oslo

Supervisor : Ingunn Moser, University of Oslo.

November 2000

The Center of Studies of Technology, Innovation and Culture (TIK).

University of Oslo.

## Abstract

Who has time to have a family ?

Scientists are the «heroes» of our time.

Science takes time - Families take time.

Who gives time ?

My case -study consists of interviews with scientific workers of both sexes. They are asked how they juggle the time required in the production of scientific knowledge and family life.

My findings indicate that it is women, who give of their time.

-

International Master Dissertation by : Kirsti Baird Hultberg.

Nature, Culture and Politics of Science and Technology.

The Centre of Studies of Technology, Innovation and Culture (TIK),

University of Oslo

## Preface

I would like to express my gratitude to BiO for their help in giving me access to the Institute. It has been extremely important for me in acquiring the necessary information about how those who work there, organise their work in relation to their private lives.

I would also like to thank my informants for giving of their time inspite of their often tight schedules, and especially thank Professor Hans Prydz for his generosity and prompt response in giving me access and putting me in contact with his staff.

I also wish to thank Jorunn Solheim at AFI for her advice and encouragement, as well as for introducing me to much relevant literature.

At last I would like to thank my supervisor Ingunn Moser for her co-operation and for useful criticism during the process of writing this thesis.

Kirsti Baird Hultberg, November 2000.

## Content

### **1.Introduction**

1.1 My scientist grandfather - a personal anecdote.....	1
1.2 A room of one's own.....	3
1.3 Professional achievement for women?.....	3
1.4 Accountability and Science.....	3
1.5 From fact finding to the study of processes.....	4
1.6 Context as an important ingredient of scientific processes.....	5
1.7 Women's entry into working life and science.....	6

1.8 Care-taking - historically embedded?.....	6
1.9 Women in science - status quo 2000.....	7
1.10 Scientist's narratives.....	7
1.11 The Contemporary dilemma.....	8
1.12 Why is this question relevant for the Social Study of Science and Technology?....	8

## **2. Science & gender.....10**

2.1 The Modest Witness.....	10
2.2 Times change.....	11
2.3 Feminist theory / motherhood.....	11
2.4 Relatively supportive family policy in Norway.....	12
2.5 Why do so many women «choose» to take so much responsibility at home?.....	13
2.6 «It all looks equal, but it is not».....	13

My research strategy.....	14
---------------------------	----

Choice of case study.....	15
---------------------------	----

## **3. Theory.....15**

3.1 Organisation of scientific work and the gender issue.....	15
3.2 Feminism.....	16
3.3 General Organisarion Theory - from Fordism to flexibility and information.....	17
3.4 Flexibilty and implications for families.....	18
3.5 What has happened to the housewife?.....	19
3.6 Biological determinism.....	20
3.7 Social constructivism.....	21
3.8 STS / ANT .....	22
3.9 Conversation and the construction of meaning.....	23

## **4.Method.....24**

4.1 Qualitative approach.....	24
-------------------------------	----

4.2	Limitations of my qualitative approach.....	25
4.3	The interviews.....	25
4.4	How the interviews were performed.....	26
4.5	The informants interviewed.....	27

## **5. Description of the Biotechnology center in Oslo.....28**

5.1	The lab.....	28
5.2	The organisation of the lab.....	29
5.3	Genetechnology as a business within contemporary society.....	30
5.4	Pros. and cons. in genetechnology.....	31

## **6. Analysis.....32**

6.1	Presentation of the material.....	32
6.1.1	The scientists own situation.....	32
6.1.2	Presentation of the informants and how they organise their lives at home and at work.....	32
6.1.3	The male informants.....	36
6.1.4	The female informants.....	37
6.1.5	Shaping of a scientist / Acquiring a lifestyle.....	38
6.1.6	The laboratory a lifestyle.....	39
6.1.7	The explicit goals for the work at BiO.....	39
6.2	Time and priorities.....	41
6.2.1	Time disposition and the power to decide.....	41
6.2.2	Care-taking takes time.....	42
6.2.3	Time in relation to policies for families.....	43
6.2.4	BiO's family policy?.....	44
6.2.5	Families - a handicap?.....	45
6.2.6	Time enough?.....	46
6.2.7	Mythification.....	47
6.3	The role of the scientist.....	48

6.3.1 Identity as a scientist.....	48
6.3.2 Multiple roles.....	50
6.3.3 Attitudes and values in relation to time and work.....	51
6.3.4 A search for new stories.....	52
6.4 Women and science.....	53
6.4.1 The study of gender issues in relation to production of scientific knowledge.....	53
6.4.2 Reluctance to take leading positions.....	53
6.4.3 Emotions and anxiety.....	54
6.4.4 At this point of time what do women want?.....	55
6.4.5 Women as leaders.....	57
6.4.6 Science without care-taking responsibilities is not a problem.....	58
6.5 Different countries - different organisation of work.....	59
6.6 Do care-taking responsibilities make a difference to science?.....	60
 <b>7. Conclusion.....</b>	 <b>62</b>
Summing up.....	62
Provisions for the future.....	63

Word count of the body of the dissertation; 19510

## **Literature:**

**Bailyn, L.**(1993). «Breaking the Mold». New York: The Free Press.

**Barthes, Roland.** (1957). «Mythologies», London: Vintage

**Barnes, B. & Bloor, D.** (1982) «Relativism, Rationalism and sociology of Knowledge» in Rationality and Relativism. Oxford: Basil Blackwell

**Bateson, Gregory.** (1972 ). «Steps to an Ecology of mind». New York: Ballantine books

**Beavoire, Simone de.** (1949). «The Second Sex». Norsk utg.PAX 2000

**Berger, Peter L. & Luchmann, Thomas.** (1966); «The Social Construction of Reality». England (1967): Penguin

**Bourdieu, Pierre.** (1998). «Den maskuline dominans»: Norsk utg: PAX forlag 2000

**Burr, Vivian** (1995). «An Introduction to Social Constructivism». London: Routledge



**Collins,H.M.and Yearley,S.**(1992). «Science as Practice and Culture».Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

**Cockburn,C.** (ed.). (1984). «Trade unions and the radicalizing of socialist feminism», *Feminist Review* 16, april.

**Darwin,C.** (1859). «On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection».

**Ellen, R.F.** (1984). «Ch.8:Producing Data», in *Ethnographic Research.*, London: Academic Press.

**Ellingsæter, Anne Lise.** (1999). «The Industrial Time in Flux». From a paper presented at the 4th European conference of Sociology, Amsterdam. Oslo: Institute for Social Research.

**Fox Celler, Evelyn.** (1983) «A Feeling for the Organism». US: Freeman and Company

**Freeman,C. and Soete, L.** (1974 / 1997) «The Economics of Industrial Innovaton». London: Pinter

**Gergen, K.J.** (1985) «The social constructionist movement in modern psychology». *American Psychologist*, 40(3),266-275.

**Geertz, Clifford.** (1993). «The Interpretation of Cultures». Britain: Fontana Press

**Goffman, Erving.** (1959). «The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life». Norsk utg.(1974), Oslo: Dreyers Forlag

**Goodfield, June.**(1981). Reference from Fox Keller (1983).

**Hacker, Sally.** (1989). «Pleasure, Power & Technology». London: Routledge

**Haraway, Donna.** (1991); «Simians, Cyborgs, and Women. The Reinvention of Nature». London: Free association Books

**Haraway, Donna.** (1996). «Modest witness: Feminist Diffractions in Science Studies». Article in «The Disunity of Science» edited by Galison and Stump. California: Stanford university Press

**Haraway, Donna.** (1980s). «A Manifesto for Cyborgs» in A reader, «The gendered Cyborg», edited by Gill Kirup et al. London: Routledge.

**Harding, Sandra.**(1992). «Whose Science?Whose Knowledge? Thinking from Women's Lives. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

**Hearn,J. & G.W.Parkin.** (1983). «Gender and Organisations: A selective review and critique of a neglected area», Organizational Studies, Vol. 4, No.3.

**Hobbbard, R. et al.** (1979). «Women looking at Biology Looking at Women». Cambridge : Schenken Publishing.

**Hochschild, Arlie.** (1997). «The Time Bind». USA: Metropolitan Books.

**Hoffmann, Lynn .**(1988). «A constructivist position for family therapy». The Irish journal for Psychology, 9,no.1

**Kanter, Rosabeth Moss.**(1977 / 1993). «Men and Women of the Corporation». New York: Basic Books.

**Kuhn, T.** (1977). «The Historical Structure of Scientific Discovery».in The Essential Tension: Selected Studies in Scientific Tradition and Change. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

**Knorr-Cetina, K.** (1995). «Laboratory Studies: The Cultural Approach to the Study of Science». In Jasanoff et al..Newbury Park,CA:Sage Publications.

**Law, John.**(1997). «Traduction /trahison»:Notes on ANT.Oslo: TMVs Arbeidsnotat 1997-106/ Lancaster: Lancaster University.

**Latour, Bruno.** (1987). «Introduction» in «Science in Action». Cambridge, MA : Harvard University Press.

**Leigh Star, S.** (1991). «Power, Technology and the Phenomenology of Conventions: On being allergic to onions». in Law,J. (ed.). A Sociology of Monsters. Essay on Power, Technology and Domination. London: Routledge.

**Melhus, Marit.** (1984). «Husarbeid: Tidsbinding av kvinner, Myk start, hard landing. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.

**Mies; Maria.** (1986 /1998). «Patriarchy & Accumulation on a World Scale».New York: Zed Books

**Moi, Toril.** (2000). Introduction in, «Det annet Kjønn». Oslo: PAX 2000.

**Mokyr, J.** (1990). «The Lever of Riches», Technological creativity and economic progress. Oxford / New York: OUP.

**Moss Kanter, Rosabeth.** (1977 / 1993). «Men and Women of the Corporation». US: Basic Books.

**Ong, Aihwa.** (1987). «Spirits of Resistance and Capitalist Discipline. Factory Women in Malaysia». NY : University of New York Press.

**Ortner, Sherry B.** (1973). «On Key Symbols». Amerikan Anthropologist 75/11/ 1973.

**Rose, H. & S.** (1976). «The Radicalisation of Science». London : Macmillan.

**Sennet ,Richard.** (1998). «The Corrosion of Character». New York:  
W.W. Norton Company.

**Shapin , Steven.** (1988). «The House of Experiments in Seventeenth Century England»  
in ISIS 79.

**Shiva,Vandana.** (1999). From an open meeting at Folkets hus, 13/10/99 in Oslo.  
«Genetechnology in a North - South perspective»

**Solheim,Jorun.** (1995). «Shelter from the Storm». Labour of Love. Edited by Borchrevink &  
Holter. England: Avebury

**Sørhaug, Tian.** (1995). «The Semantics of Love», Labour of Love. Edited by Borchrevink &  
Holter. England: Avebury

**Tobach, E. & Rossof, B.** (red.) (1978). «Genes & Gender». NY : Gordon Press

**Traweek, Sharon.** (1988). «Beamtimes & Lifetime». London: Harvard University Press.

**Wajcman, J.**(1998). «Managing like a Man». Women and men in Corporate Management.  
US: Polity Press

**Wittgenstein, L.:** (1961). «Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus». London

**Woolf, Virginia.** (1929). «A Room of One's Own». Reprint (1992) in Oxford World  
Classics. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press

**Wright, Susan.** (1994). «Anthropology of Organisation». New York: Routledge

Other sources:(verbal & cuttings)

**BiO annual report** (1999)

**Ford Foundation.** (1996) «Relinking Life and Work: Teward a Better Future». 1.Work and family - Case Studies. US: Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data.

**Gyldendal konversasjons leksikon** (1935).Oslo: Gyldendal Norske Forlag

**Shiva,Vandana,** 9/9/00. «Benefits of Globalisation». BBC World

**Solheim, J.** (2000). Oral reference after a discussion at AFI, march 2000.

**Wahlgren, Mats.** (1999) .From an open meeting at Folkets hus, 13/10/99 in Oslo.  
«Genetechnology in a North - South perspective»

(\*1) - The Guardian, June 2000

(\*2) - Universitas, 9 / 2 /00

(\*3) - Universitas, 8 / 3 / 00

